

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/240,250	Applicant(s) Boesch
	Examiner Cuong H. Nguyen	Group Art Unit 3625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cuong H. Nguyen (3) _____
 (2) Mr. Adam Treiber (Reg. # 48,000) (4) _____

Date of Interview Aug 8, 2003

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed:
N/A

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Final Office Action was mailed on 1/02/2003, and the applicant fails to timely file an Appeal Brief; therefore, the case is abandon. A petition for revival would be needed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Cuonghnguyen